Exhibit 2

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073 Filed 09/23/15 Page 1 of 5 MARIO N. ALIOTO, ESQ. (56433) 1 JOSEPH M. PATANE, ESQ. (72202) 2 LAUREN C. RUSSELL, ESQ. (241151) TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP 3 2280 Union Street San Francisco, CA 94123 4 Telephone: (415) 563-7200 5 Facsimile: (415) 346-0679 E-mail: malioto@tatp.com 6 laurenrussell@tatp.com 7 Lead Class Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 12 ANTITRUST LITIGATION MDL No. 1917 13 **CLASS ACTION** 14 COMPENDIUM OF IPP COUNSEL DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF 15 MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES. This Document Relates to: REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AND 16 ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS INCENTIVE AWARDS 17 Hearing Date: November 13, 2015 Time: 10:00 a.m. 18 Courtroom: One, 17th Floor Judge: Honorable Samuel Conti 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 COMPENDIUM OF IPP COUNSEL DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

1				
2	COMPENDIUM INDEX OF HISTO	RIC AND CURREN	T LODESTAR	
3 4	FIRM NAME	REPORTED LODESTAR (HISTORIC)	LODESTAR AT CURRENT RATES	EXHIBIT
5	Trump, Alioto, Trump & Prescott, LLP	\$15,745,591.25	\$17,290,782.50	1
6	Kirby McInerney, LLP	\$9,781,663.75	\$11,090,460.00	2
7	Zelle, Hofmann, Voelbel, Mason & Gette LLP	\$8,748,773.75	\$8,994,951.75	3
	Straus & Boies, LLP	\$8,327,526.75	\$9,002,608.75	4
8	Lovell Stewart Halebian and Jacobson LLP	\$3,194,982.50	\$3,520,882.35	5
9	Cooper & Kirkham, P.C.	\$2,994,845.00	\$3,068,480.00	6
10	Law Offices of Sylvie K Kern (KAG)	\$2,937,784.00	\$3,592,540.00	7
	Green & Noblin, P.C.	\$2,882,799.50	\$3,137,791.50	8
11	Andrus Anderson LLP	\$2,860,293.50	\$3,097,527.00	9
12	Fine, Kaplan and Black, RPC	\$2,625,672.50	\$2,625,672.50	10
13	Miller Law LLC	\$2,582,705.00	\$2,602,234.00	11
	Milberg LLP	\$2,451,272.00	\$2,617,117.50	12
14	Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser, LLP	\$2,414,440.00	\$2,608,560.00	13
15	Law Offices of Sherman Kassof	\$2,389,762.50	\$2,391,135.00	14
16	Goldman Scarlato Karen & Penny, P.C.	\$2,297,097.50	\$2,341,089.50	15
	Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP	\$2,200,038.50	\$2,214,555.75	16
17	Sharp McQueen PA	\$1,376,957.00	\$1,443,207.50	17
18	Law Offices of Brian Barry	\$937,223.50	\$937,223.50	18
19	Law Offices of Lawrence G. Papale	\$850,240.00	\$946,500.00	19
19	Vogl Meredith Burke LLP	\$769,320.00	\$769,320.00	20
20	Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C.	\$667,632.50	\$667,632.50	21
21	Hulett Harper Stewart LLP	\$635,171.75	\$635,171.75	22
22	Besmer Law Firm	\$614,961.00	\$662,900.00	23
23	Freedman Boyd Hollander Goldberg Urias & Ward P.A.	\$556,654.00	\$556,654.00	24
20	Janssen Malloy LLP	\$546,932.50	\$546,932.50	25
24	Morrison Sund PLLC	\$387,800.00	\$476,440.00	26
25	Karon LLC	\$205,006.30	\$205,006.30	27
	Frankovitch, Anetakis, Colantonio & Simon	\$197,859.25	\$197,859.25	28
26	Kirkpatrick & Goldsborough, PLLC	\$184,555.00	\$214,655.00	29
27	McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby,LLP	\$157,145.00	\$199,025.00	30
28	The Saunders Law Firm	\$147,526.50	\$156.340.00	31
	1			

COMPENDIUM OF IPP COUNSEL DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AND INCENTIVE AWARDS Case No. 3:07-cv-5944, MDL No. 1917

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4817-2 Filed 09/07/16 Page 4 of 24

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073 Filed 09/23/15 Page 3 of 5

FIRM NAME	REPORTED LODESTAR (HISTORIC)	LODESTAR AT CURRENT RATES	EXHIBIT
Ademi & O'Reilly LLP	\$145,200.00	\$158,427.00	32
Foley & Mansfield, PLLP	\$106,298.50	\$127,500.00	33
McCallum, Methvin & Terrell, P.C.	\$88,777.50	\$106,985.00	34
The Furth Firm LLP	\$83,495.00	\$102,361.50	35
Wyatt & Blake, LLP	\$74,875.00	\$88,437.50	36
Schubert, Jonckheer & Kolbe LLP	\$69,370.00	\$74,075.00	37
McManis Faulkner	\$52,440.00	\$66,239.50	38
Law Offices of Jeff Crabtree	\$29,030.00	\$30,205.00	39
Gustafson Gluek PLLC	\$28,100.00	\$28,100.00	40
Flom Law Office, P.A.	\$23,744.00	\$23,744.00	41
Whitfield Bryson & Mason LLP	\$16,430.00	\$17,330.00	42
Ryley Carlock & Applewhite	\$8,600.50	\$8,600.50	43
Bangs, McCullen, Butler, Foye & Simmons, LLP	\$6,300.00	\$7,875.00	44
Minami Tamaki LLP	\$3,010.00	\$3,279.00	45
Bonsignore Trial Lawyers, PLLC	\$233,180.00	\$290,467.50	*
Law Office of Francis O. Scarpulla	\$64,708.75	\$73,812.50	*
Gerard & Associates	\$27,288.75	\$27,288.75	*
Theresa D. Moore	\$22,918.75	\$29,093.75	*
Total	\$83,753,999,05	\$90,075,076,90	

*No Declaration. Lodestar proposed by Lead Counsel.

--

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073 Filed 09/23/15 Page 4 of 5

1				. .
2	COMPENDIUM INC	DEX OF EXPENSES		
3	FIRM NAME	LITIGATION COST FUND CONTRIBUTION	UN- REIMBURSED EXPENSES	EXHIBIT
5	Trump, Alioto, Trump & Prescott, LLP	\$1,260,000.00	\$25,597.45	1
5	Kirby McInerney, LLP	\$110,000.00	\$111,357.08	2
6	Zelle, Hofmann, Voelbel, Mason & Gette LLP	\$25,000.00	\$143,946.50	3
7	Straus & Boies, LLP	\$120,000.00	\$88,841.21	4
8	Lovell Stewart Halebian and Jacobson LLP	\$125,000.00	\$47,571.59	5
	Cooper & Kirkham, P.C.	\$50,000.00	\$50,362.37	6
9	Law Offices of Sylvie K Kern (KAG)	\$0.00	\$1,669.31	7
10	Green & Noblin, P.C.	\$60,000.00	\$47.35	8
11	Andrus Anderson LLP	\$50,000.00	\$35,702.27	9
11	Fine, Kaplan and Black, RPC	\$0.00	\$54,489.52	10
12	Miller Law LLC	\$50,000.00	\$1,484.46	11
13	Milberg LLP	\$60,000.00	\$21,977.64	12
14	Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser, LLP	\$45,000.00	\$11,232.45	13
14	Law Offices of Sherman Kassof	\$50,000.00	\$1,521.70	14
15	Goldman Scarlato Karen & Penny, P.C.	\$75,000.00	\$6,933.81	15
16	Glancy Prongay & Murray LLP	\$45,000.00	\$6,977.84	16
17	Sharp McQueen PA	\$50,000.00	\$13,280.01	17
1/	Law Offices of Brian Barry	\$25,000.00	\$3,471.05	18
18	Law Offices of Lawrence G. Papale	\$0.00	\$24,623.03	19
19	Vogl Meredith Burke LLP	\$0.00	\$14,218.73	20
	Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C.	\$55,000.00	\$142.65	21
20	Hulett Harper Stewart LLP	\$0.00	\$12,566.35	22
21	Besmer Law Firm	\$0.00	\$0.00	23
22	Freedman Boyd Hollander Goldberg Urias & Ward P.A.	\$0.00	\$39,373.83	24
23	Janssen Malloy LLP	\$15,000.00	\$26.08	25
24	Morrison Sund PLLC	\$0.00	\$0.00	26
	Karon LLC	\$0.00	\$0.00	27
25	Frankovitch, Anetakis, Colantonio & Simon	\$25,000.00	\$2,389.68	28
26	Kirkpatrick & Goldsborough, PLLC	\$10,000.00	\$481.04	29
27	McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby,LLP	\$10,000.00	\$7,475.41	30
21	The Saunders Law Firm	\$25,000.00	\$6,022.18	31

(DELIDIUM COE IND. CO

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4817-2 Filed 09/07/16 Page 6 of 24

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073 Filed 09/23/15 Page 5 of 5

FIRM NAME	LITIGATION COST FUND CONTRIBUTION	UN- REIMBURSED EXPENSES	EXHIBIT
Ademi & O'Reilly LLP	\$5,000.00	\$3,326.67	32
Foley & Mansfield, PLLP	\$0.00	\$403.25	33
McCallum, Methvin & Terrell, P.C.	\$20,000.00	\$9,428.22	34
The Furth Firm LLP	\$0.00	\$6,211.85	35
Wyatt & Blake, LLP	\$5,000.00	\$666.86	36
Schubert, Jonckheer & Kolbe LLP	\$0.00	\$2,058.28	37
McManis Faulkner	\$0.00	\$4,334.12	38
Law Offices of Jeff Crabtree	\$0.00	\$0.00	39
Gustafson Gluek PLLC	\$0.00	\$0.00	40
Flom Law Office, P.A.	\$0.00	\$93.84	41
Whitfield Bryson & Mason LLP	\$0.00	\$139.19	42
Ryley Carlock & Applewhite	\$0.00	\$499.15	43
Bangs, McCullen, Butler, Foye & Simmons, LLP	\$0.00	\$29.81	44
Minami Tamaki LLP	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	45
Bonsignore Trial Lawyers, PLLC	\$0.00	\$8,386.12	*
Gerard & Associates	\$5,000.00	\$287.60	*
Gross Belsky Alonso LLP	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	*
Total	\$2,405,000.00	\$769,647.55	

^{*}No Declaration. Expenses proposed for reimbursement by Lead Counsel.

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 1 of 18

Exhibit 30

	Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4	1073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 2 of 18
1	R. BRENT IRBY	
2	ERIC D. HOAGLUND McCALLUM, HOAGLUND, COOK & II 905 MONTGOMERY HIGHWAY	RBY, LLP
3	SUITE 201	
4	VESTAVIA HILLS, ALABAMA 35216 TELEPHONE: (205)824-7767 FACSIMILE: (205)824-7768	
5	EMAIL: birby@mhcilaw.com	
6	ehoaglund@mhcilaw.com Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	
7		
8		
9		
0	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
1	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
2	SAN FRA	NCISCO DIVISION
3	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 MDL No. 1917
4		CLASS ACTION
5 6	This Document Relates to:	DECLARATION OF R. BRENT IRBY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION
7	All Indirect Purchaser Actions	FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES AND INCENTIVE AWARDS
8		Judge: Honorable Samuel Conti Courtroom One, 17th Floor
9		
0 1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
٠	DECLADATION OF B. BRE	NT IRBY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'

DECLARATION OF R. BRENT IRBY IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, EXPENSES AND INCENTIVE AWARDS
Case No. 3:07-cv-5944, MDL No. 1917

3

8

11

15

16 17

18

1920

21

2223

2425

2627

28

I, R. Brent Irby, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney licensed to practice before the courts of Tennessee, Alabama and Georgia, and a Partner and Shareholder in the law firm McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby, LLP. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them. I make this declaration in support of my firm's request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses, as set forth in Plaintiffs' Application for Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Incentive Awards.
- 2. My firm is counsel of record in this case, and represents named Plaintiff(s) Albert "Sid" Crigler. A brief description of my firm is attached as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3. Throughout the course of this litigation, my firm kept files contemporaneously documenting all time spent, including tasks performed, and expenses incurred, and transmitted those reports on a regular basis to Lead Counsel. All of the time and expenses reported by my firm were incurred for the benefit of the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs ("IPPs").
- 4. During the course of this litigation, my firm has been involved in the following tasks and activities on behalf of the IPPs. All of this work was assigned and/or approved by Lead Counsel:
- a. multiple meetings, emails, phone calls, and dealings with Indirect

 Purchaser/Tennessee client, Sid Crigler, throughout the course of the litigation to address, among

 other matters, client questionnaires, Mr. Crigler's transactions, document production, interrogatory

 responses, case status updates, deposition scheduling, information needed from lead counsel,

 pleadings involving Mr. Crigler, and other matters pertinent to the litigation.
- b. conference calls, email communications, research, drafting, and reviews with respect to portions of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motions to Dismiss that were assigned to our firm.
- c. cursory review of pleadings, filings, and Orders pertinent to the Indirect Purchaser litigation, and more substantive review of filings, pleadings, and Orders involving Sid

Crigler in his role as class representative of the Tennessee Indirect Purchaser class, including operative Complaints and class certification submissions.

- d. gathering, drafting, and securing accuracy and verification of Sid Crigler's responses and production (and supplements thereto) to the various discovery items served on him by Defendants throughout the course of this litigation.
- e. arranging and assistance in preparing and defending the deposition of Tennessee Indirect Purchaser class representative Sid Crigler, including deposition preparation sessions and items/information needed following his deposition.
- f. arranging, drafting and providing deposition summaries of four (4) Hitachi depositions assigned to our firm, all of which were multi-volume.
- g. periodically contributing requested assessments to the CRT litigation fund established for the common benefit of the class.
- h. attending meetings or conference calls with lead counsel regarding litigation or trial strategy.
- 5. The schedule attached as Exhibit 2, and incorporated herein, is a detailed summary of the amount of time spent by my firm's partners, attorneys and professional support staff who were involved in this litigation. It does not include any time devoted to preparing this declaration or otherwise pertaining to the Joint Fee Petition. The lodestar calculation is based on my firm's historical billing rates in effect at the time services were performed. Exhibit 2 was prepared from contemporaneous time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm. Those records have been provided to Lead Counsel and I authorize them to be submitted for inspection by the Court if necessary. The hourly rates for my firm's partners, attorneys and professional support staff included in Exhibit 2 were at the time the work was performed the usual and customary hourly rates charged for their services in similar complex litigation.

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 5 of 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The total number of hours reasonably expended on this litigation by my firm from 6. inception to May 31, 2015 is 400.4 hours. The total lodestar for my firm at historical rates is \$157,145.00. The total lodestar for my firm at current rates is \$199,025.00. Expense items are billed separately and are not duplicated in my firm's lodestar. The expenses my firm incurred in litigating this action are reflected in the books 7. and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, invoices, receipts, check records and other source materials and accurately reflect the expenses incurred. My firm's expense records are available for inspection by the Court if necessary. 8. My firm incurred a total of \$17,475.41 in unreimbursed expenses, all of which were reasonable and necessary for the prosecution of this litigation. Of this amount, \$10,000.00 was for assessment payments for common litigation expenses or direct payments to experts or other vendors made at the request of Lead Counsel, and an additional \$7,475.41 was for non-common litigation expenses incurred by my firm, such as travel, meals and lodging, copying, legal research, telephone, etc. A summary of those expenses by category is attached as Exhibit 3. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 20th day of August, 2015, in Vestavia Hills, Alabama. R. Breitos

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 6 of 18

EXHIBIT 1

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4817-2 Filed 09/07/16 Page 13 of 24

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 7 of 18

McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby, LLP is a boutique litigation firm located in Birmingham, Alabama consisting of three (3) shareholder attorneys, one (1) part-time attorney, and one (1) associate attorney. McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby, LLP specializes in complex consumer and business litigation, including class actions and mass torts, in Alabama, Tennessee, Georgia and other states. Our attorneys have been appointed lead counsel in over sixty (60) state class actions and in over twenty-five (25) nationwide class actions. In addition to our complex litigation practice, our firm also represents local businesses and clients in several areas of commercial litigation, including shareholder actions, business torts, and partnership disputes.

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 8 of 18

EXHIBIT 2

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 9 of 1E EXHIBIT 2 IN RECATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917

CATHODE RAY TOBE (CR.) ANTHROST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917
TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

,
4
,
1
l
1
l
1
ı
1
1
ı
1
ŀ

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 10 of 18 ехнівіт 2

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917 TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	McCallum. Hoaglund, Cook & Irby. LLP	Hoaglung	1. Cook	& Irbv. L	41										
Reporting Year	2010														
Name/Gratic	Hourly		Ç	۲	V	u	u	,	o	o	ç	-	Ç		
Caracter Communication			1)	r	1					3	1	777	SIMOLI 0.0	Louestar \$.
R. Brent Irby/P	\$ 450.00	3.4		8.1	14.8						2.8			29.1	\$ 13,095.00
														0.0	
Eric D. Hoaglund/P	\$ 450.00	1.2			1.3					1.4	5.7			9.6	\$ 4,320.00
														0.0	
Lori C. Marler/PL	\$ 175.00				6.0									6.0	\$ 157.50
														0.0	· •
														0.0	÷ \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	÷ \$
										***************************************				0.0	- \$
														0.0	٠ -
														0.0	÷ .
														0.0	÷ ÷
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
					···········					******				0.0	· •
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	· *
					***********									0.0	- -
														0.0	٠ -
														0.0	, \$
														0.0	· \$
											·			0.0	, \$
														0.0	چ
		4.6	0.0	8.1	17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	8.5	0.0	0.0	39.6	\$ 17,572.50

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 11 of 18

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917 TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	McCallum. Hoaelund. Cook & Irby. 11.P	Hoaelun	d. Cook	& Irbv. I	اله			***************************************							
Reporting Year	2011			- 11											
2. 1-13/ Cm-2N	Hourly	7	·		•	L	,	1	G	(Ş	,	,		-
Maille/ Status	מפונ	-	7	n	4	n	D		•	2	OT	1	77	Hours 0.0	Lodestar \$
R. Brent Irby/P	\$ 450.00	3.5		4.7	14.7						1.8	0.8		25.5	\$ 11,475.00
														0.0	
Eric D. Hoaglund/P	\$ 450.00			0.4	8.2						0.0	3.3		11.9	\$ 5,355.00
														0.0	
Lori C. Marler/PL	\$ 175.00				1.0									1.0	\$ 175.00
														0.0	. \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	÷ ÷
														0.0	- \$
					•••••									0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	÷ \$
														0.0	÷ \$
														0.0	÷ ÷
														0.0	. \$
														0.0	÷ -
														0.0	÷ .
														0.0	٠ -
														0.0	٠ \$
														0.0	\$
														0.0	÷
		3.5	0.0	5.1	23.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	4.1	0.0	38.4	\$ 17,005.00

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 P; э 12 of 18 **Ехнівіт** 2

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1
TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Eirm Namo	MACCAllum	Hoselin	7007 4	9. Irby 1	٥												
	MICCAIIUM, MUABIUMA, COOK & ITD	noagiun	a, cook	& Irby, LLP	اد												
Reporting Year	2012																
Name/Status	Hourly	-	^	'n	4	ľ	¥	7	٥	0					3	-	
		1	ı		•	,		,		ו	_	1	╀		SINOL		rodestar
R. Brent Irby/P	\$ 450.00	2.0		5.0		30.2	15.7				4	0.6	2.4	5 0	55.9	٠ ح	25 155 00
					-									0	0.0	· 5	,
Eric D. Hoaglund/P	\$ 450.00					9.8					5 4	4.1 C	0.5	.2	13.2	\$	5,940.00
														0	0.0	\$	
Lori C. Marler/PL	\$ 175.00				1.5	1.1					0	0.3		6	2.9		507.50
														0	0.0		
								***************************************						0	0.0	\$,
															0.0	ş	
															0.0	\$,
															0.0	\$,
														0	0.0	\$,
														0	0.0	\$	
														0	0.0	\$,
	·Pumu													0	0.0	Ŷ	
														0	0.0	❖	•
														0	0.0	\$	•
														0	0.0	\$	٠
														0.	0.0	\$	ı
		A												0:	0.0	\$	1
														0:	0.0	\$,
														0:	0.0	\$	1
												**********		0:	0.0	\$	•
														0:	0.0		,
												***************************************		0.	0.0		•
						*********								0.	0.0		1
													_	0:	0.0		1
					<i></i>							<u> </u>	_	0.	0.0	\$	•
													_	o:	0.0		ì
		2.0	0.0	5.0	1.5	39.9	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	5.0 2	2.9	0. 0.	72.0	\$	31,602.50

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST of cument 4073-30 deled 09/23/15 Page 13 of 18

BE (CRT) ANTITRUST LIATIFATION; MDL NO. 1917

¥ ₹

E AND LODESTAR SUMRY RECT PURCHASER PLAIFS

E A

IN RE CATHODE RAYBE

175.00 58,232.50 3,300.00 14,750.00 40,007.50 Lodestar Ŷ 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 9.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 160.2 0.0 29.5 0.0 0.0 123.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 Hours 0.0 12 0.0 Ħ 14.3 10.9 3.4 10 0.0 σ 0.0 œ 4 5 6 Ŋ ú 7, 0.0 ø 0.0 Ŋ Ö O. McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby, LLP 1.6 1.6 m 0.0 ~ 28.9 1.0 15.5 3.2 9.2 ⊣ 500.00 500.00 \$ 325.00 \$ 175.00 Hourly 2013 Rate ↭ Name/Status Eric D. Hoaglund/P Lori C. Marler/PL Martha R. Cook Reporting Year R. Brent irby/P Firm Name

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 14 of 18 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917 TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

	McCallum. Hoaglund. Cook & Irby. LLP
	ne

Firm Name	McCallum Hoaglund Cook & Irby 119	Hosefun	d Cook	& Irbv.	9										
Reporting Year	2014	0													
Name/Status	Hourly Rate	н	7	m	4	rv	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
														0.0	8
R. Brent Irby/P	\$ 500.00			1.4	2.6						1.4			5.4	
														0.0	\$
Eric D. Hoaglund/P	\$ 500.00										3.0			3.0	\$ 1,500.00
														0.0	\$
														0.0	\$
									*********					0.0	, \$
														0.0	; \$
														0.0	\$
														0.0	<i>-</i> \$
			wiennión s											0.0	÷ \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	÷ ÷
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	· \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	- \$
														0.0	÷ ÷
														0.0	\$ -
														0.0	\$
														0.0	\$ -
						4000000								0.0	
														0.0	- \$
			- '											0.0	
														0.0	
														0.0	÷ -
														0.0	÷ \$
		0.0	0.0	1.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	8.4	\$ 4,200.00

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 15 of 18 exhibit 2
IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917
TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY
INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	McCallum. Hoaglund, Cook & Irby. LLP	Hoaglun	d. Cook	& irbv. L	d d										[
Reporting Year	2015														Τ
	Hourly														
Name/Status	Rate	1	2	æ	4	S	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	Hours	L
														\$ 0.0	
R. Brent Irby/P	\$ 500.00	1.0		0.3							1.3			2.6 \$	<u>8</u> .
														\$ 0.0	4
Eric D. Hoaglund/P	\$ 500.00							-			9.0			\$ 9.0	9.
***************************************														\$ 0.0	
Lori C. Marler/PL	\$ 175.00	0.3												0.3 \$	55
														\$ 0.0	1
														\$ 0.0	Γ,
														\$ 0.0	1
				••••										0.0	,
														\$ 0.0	,
	********			*********										\$ 0.0	,
														\$ 0.0	ı
														\$ 0.0	,
														\$ 0.0	,
														0.0	,
		************				•		•						\$ 0.0	,
														\$ 0.0	,
														0.0	,
														0.0 \$,
														\$ 0.0 \$	i
														0.0 \$,
											••••	,,,,,,,,,,		0.0 \$	4
											*********			0.0	f
									**********		********			\$ 0.0	1
														\$ 0.0	,
				-										\$ 0.0	ı
														0.0 \$,
								*******						\$ 0.0	,
		1.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	3.5	.50
												-			

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 16 of 18 IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917 TIME AND LODESTAR SUMMARY

INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby, LLP
Reporting Year	Inception through Present

Year	1	2	8	4	R	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	Hours	Lodestar
2007	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$
2008	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	\$
2009	14.5	0.0	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.7	15.3	0.0	0.0	78.3	\$ 26,880.00
2010	4.6	0.0	8.1	17.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	8.5	0.0	0.0	39.6	\$ 17,572.50
2011	3.5	0.0	5.1	23.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	4.1	0.0	38.4	\$ 17,005.00
2012	2.0	0.0	5.0	1.5	39.9	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	2.9	0.0	72.0	\$ 31,602.50
2013	28.9	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	115,4	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	160.2	\$ 58,232.50
2014	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	8,4	\$ 4,200.00
2015	1.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	3.5	\$ 1,652.50
	54.8	0.0	29.3	45.0	39.9	15.7	115.4	0.0	42.1	51.2	7.0	0.0	400.4	\$ 157,145.00

(P) Partner (OC) Of Counsel (A) Associate (LC) Law Clerk (PL) Paralegal (I) Investigator	a	
	<u></u>	1 Attorney Meeting/St
		2 Court Appearance
		3 Client Meeting
		4 Draft Discovery Requ
		5 Deposition Preparati
	ō	6 Attend Deposition - (
		7 Document Review
		8 Experts - Work or Co
		9 Research
		10 Motions/Pleadings
		11 Settlement
		12 Trial

S
쁘
<u> </u>
0
ATEG
F
~
7
J

- eting/Strategy
- ance.
- ry Requests or Responses
- eparation
- sition Conduct/Defend
- eview
- rk or Consult

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 17 of 18

EXHIBIT 3

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4817-2 Filed 09/07/16 Page 24 of 24

Case 3:07-cv-05944-JST Document 4073-30 Filed 09/23/15 Page 18 of 18 EXHIBIT 3

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION; MDL NO. 1917 EXPENSE SUMMARY

INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

Firm Name	McCallum, Hoaglund, Cook & Irby, LLP
Reporting Year	Inception through Present

TYPE OF EXPENSE	TOTAL	
A		10,000,00
Assessments	\$	10,000.00
Outside Copies	<u></u>	
In-house Reproduction /Copies	\$	4,152.50
Court Costs & Filing Fees		
Court Reporters 7 Transcripts		
Computer Research	\$	463.51
Telephone & Facsimile		
Postage/Express Delivery/Courier	\$	77.33
Professional Fees (investigator, accountant, etc.)		
Experts		
Witness / Service Fees		
Travel: Airfare		
Travel: Lodging/Meals	\$	2,782.07
Travel: Other		
Car Rental/Cabfare/Parking		
Other Expenses		
	\$	17,475.41